

# Cloning—Lesson Plan

# **Student Objectives**

- Understand the role of democratic decision making in accommodating human dignity and freedom of thought in the areas of science, medicine, and public health.
- Learn the issues raised by genetic cloning and the scientific and public policy terms used to discuss this area of science.
- Explore the tensions between the protection of human dignity and the alleviation of human suffering raised in the discussion of therapeutic cloning of human cells.
- Analyze the reasons supporting and opposing therapeutic cloning of human cells.
- Identify areas of agreement and disagreement with other students.
- Decide, individually and as a group, whether the government should permit therapeutic cloning of human cells; support decisions based on evidence and sound reasoning.
- Reflect on the value of deliberation when deciding issues in a democracy.

### **Question for Deliberation**

Should our democracy permit therapeutic cloning of human cells?

#### **Materials**

- Lesson Procedures
- Handout 1—Deliberation Guide
- Handout 2—Deliberation Summary
- Handout 3—Student Reflection on Deliberation
- Reading
- Selected Resources
- Deliberation Question with Arguments (optional—use if students have difficulty extracting the arguments or time is limited)



# Cloning—Reading

1	In 1996, scientists in Scotland created Dolly, a sheep who was an identical genetic copy of
2	her mother. Since that time, scientists in other parts of the world have produced genetic
3	duplicates of such animals as a cow, a mouse, a cat, a dog, a horse, a pig, and even a ferret. This
4	process, called <i>cloning</i> , has led to increased interest and concern by governments and ordinary
5	persons. Officials and citizens around the world are discussing the uses of human cells in
6	medical research and the prospect of reproducing people through cloning.
7	Kinds of Cloning
8	Cloning is different from other forms of assisted reproduction, such as artificial insemination
9	or in vitro fertilization. In assisted reproduction, the sperm of a male donor is brought together
10	with the egg of a female donor, just like in natural reproduction. Cloning, by contrast, involves
11	transferring the genetic material from the nucleus of one adult cell of an organism and placing it
12	into an egg whose genetic material has been removed. After receiving a careful burst of
13	electricity, the egg begins to divide into an embryo as if sperm had fertilized it.
14	Regarding human cloning, scientists and policymakers generally make a distinction between
15	reproductive and therapeutic cloning. While the same techniques are used in the initial stages of
16	both processes (German National Ethics Council, 2004), they quickly differ in important ways
17	(Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy, 2002).
18	Reproductive cloning, the process used to create Dolly the sheep, involves implanting an
19	embryo into a female's uterus. If the implantation is successful, the embryo grows and is born

just like any other baby. The result, like Dr. Evil's "Mini-Me" in the Austin Powers movies or

20

- the master composers in the Russian opera *Rosenthal's Children*, is a genetic copy of the donor.
- 2 Therapeutic cloning does not implant an embryo into a uterus. Instead, therapeutic cloning
- 3 focuses on stem cells and how they develop. These cells are very versatile: all the specialized
- 4 cells of the body—bone, blood, nerves, muscles, skin—develop from stem cells. Despite this
- 5 versatility, stem cells "do not themselves have the capacity to form a fetus or a newborn animal"
- 6 (COSEPUP, 2002). Some researchers use therapeutic cloning to understand genetic defects.
- 7 They also use the rapeutic cloning to learn how to renew cells or tissues in people who suffer
- 8 from degenerative diseases or crippling injuries. Other scientists pursue therapeutic cloning
- 9 because they believe that stem cell research, like other frontiers in science, will lead to
- 10 unexpected discoveries.

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

#### Cell Sources for Cloning

12 Currently, surplus embryos donated by parents undergoing *in vitro* fertilization are used as a 13 source for stem cells. Fertility clinics routinely discard these unused embryos. When researchers

receive embryos from a fertility lab, the embryos are only a few days old but are alive and

growing. The embryos are still in the blastocyst stage. That means they are a hollow ball of 64 to

200 cells in two layers. The researchers remove the stem cells—the inner layer of cells—to grow

them in the lab. The outer layer of cells—which would have grown into the placenta, the means

for nutrients to pass to a growing fetus—is discarded.

### The Debate over Cloning

No country today supports the reproductive cloning of humans. Since the creation of Dolly,

individual countries and the international community have worked to ban the cloning of humans

to produce children. A 1998 United Nations General Assembly declaration stated that "Practices

- 1 which are contrary to human dignity, such as reproductive cloning of human beings, shall not be
- 2 permitted" (Universal Declaration on the Human Genome and Human Rights).
- 3 Yet the declaration also said "Freedom of research, which is necessary for the progress of
- 4 knowledge, is part of freedom of thought. The applications of research, including applications in
- 5 biology, genetics and medicine, concerning the human genome, shall seek to offer relief from
- 6 suffering and improve the health of individuals and humankind as a whole" (Article 12). This
- 7 balance of interests—the preservation of human dignity and the relief of human suffering—
- 8 exposes the fundamental fault line dividing those who see promise and value in therapeutic
- 9 cloning and those who seek a total ban on all forms of cloning.

#### Cloning in a Democratic Society: Who Decides?

- Another key question in the cloning discussion is who has the authority to decide. Many
- 12 countries have created advisory committees of scientists, ethicists, and medical experts to help
- them understand cloning. Yet most governments keep the power to decide for themselves. Not
- surprisingly, different democracies have made different choices. The German National Ethics
- 15 Council, for example, recommended in 2004 that the country maintain its 1990 Embryo
- 16 Protection Law. This law bans all forms of cloning. This decision was made even though a
- majority of the council's members were in favor of allowing therapeutic research. In 2006, the
- Australian parliament overturned a ban on therapeutic cloning, and a five-year ban in Russia is
- due for reconsideration in 2007. The United States has restricted federal funding for therapeutic
- 20 cloning since 2001, limiting research to a narrow group of government-approved stem cells.
- 21 Research funded by private and state sources continues at U.S. research institutes and
- 22 universities, however.

10

#### **Cloning Human Cells: Supporters and Opponents**

1

2 Supporters of cloning argue that careless use of the term *cloning* has confused the public at 3 the cost of good science. Reproductive cloning places an altered human cell in a female's uterus. 4 In contrast, therapeutic cloning takes place in a laboratory and cannot lead to a human being. The 5 clear differences in technique between therapeutic and reproductive cloning and the international 6 consensus against reproductive cloning mean there is little danger of a "slippery slope" leading 7 from cloning that can cure to cloning that is universally condemned. 8 Supporters agree that the technology of cloning must be regulated. By legislating procedures 9 and safeguards, society can determine what kind of cloning is acceptable and what kind is not. 10 Reproductive cloning can be identified, isolated, criminalized, and, when necessary, punished 11 without limiting therapeutic cloning. 12 While acknowledging concerns about human experimentation, supporters of therapeutic 13 cloning note that the "embryos" used in research are really tiny blastocysts of undifferentiated 14 stem cells. These blastocysts would be thrown away or destroyed by fertility clinics and medical 15 facilities. Through therapeutic cloning, these cells can be saved and used to advance human life. 16 Supporters argue that therapeutic cloning holds great promise to alleviate human suffering 17 and advance human knowledge. "Obtaining cells and tissues through therapeutic cloning gives a 18 great hope to a number of incurably ill patients," says Professor Eva Syklová, director of the 19 Institute of Experimental Medicine of the Academy of Sciences in Prague. This research will be 20 guided by reason and by democratic principles: results will be subjected to scientific peer review, 21 and scientific work will proceed only with the knowledge and consent of society. Opponents of human cloning argue that the "different" processes of therapeutic and 22 23 reproductive cloning are both based on the destruction of human embryos. Thus, they say, there

- 1 is no moral difference between the two. Cloning denies the fundamental rights of persons and
- 2 reduces them to technical or medical commodities. As Okon Efiong Isong of Nigeria's U.N.
- 3 mission notes, "The United Nations was set up primarily to stop all acts that could violate the
- 4 sanctity and dignity of human life—including the self-serving application of science and
- 5 technology. It is, indeed, an inconceivable paradox that the proponents of human cloning for
- 6 therapeutic purposes would opt to destroy or sacrifice human life—for the human embryo is a
- 7 human life, a human being in its formative stages—so as to save the life of another."
- 8 Opponents also argue that human cloning gives the living preference over the unborn, who
- 9 cannot voice their opinion. Once an embryo is selected for therapeutic cloning, that life is over. It
- 10 is the responsibility of those who can speak to advocate for those who cannot. Furthermore, stem
- cells needed for research can be taken from other sources, such as umbilical cord blood. Thus,
- 12 using cloned embryos is unnecessary.
- 13 Critics further note the divergence between the costs of cloning and its possible benefits to
- 14 humanity. Any scientific or medical advances are decades away, they argue. Those advances will
- benefit primarily the wealthy and influential. The money and scientific effort devoted to cloning
- 16 could be better invested to fight current problems—like AIDS, malaria, and tuberculosis. That
- 17 funding would help tens of millions of mostly poor people worldwide right now. The decisions
- about these issues cannot be left to scientists. Scientists want to do whatever can be done. They
- do not always think about what should be done.
- The debate about cloning asks what it means to be human. Despite all of humanity's
- 21 advances in knowledge, people still seek an answer to this fundamental question.



# **Cloning—Selected Resources**

- "5-year Ban on Human Cloning in Russia," *Prima News* (April 10, 2002), http://www.primanews.ru/eng/news/news/2002/4/10/9541.html?print.
- "Additional Protocol to the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being with Regard to the Application of Biology and Medicine, on the Prohibition of Cloning Human Beings" (Paris: Council of Europe, opened January 12, 1998, entered into force January 3, 2001), http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/en/Treaties/Html/168.htm.
- "Ad Hoc Committee on an International Convention Against the Reproductive Cloning of Human Beings" (New York: United Nations), http://www.un.org/law/cloning/.
- Beardsley, Tim, "A Clone in Sheep's Clothing," *Scientific American* (March 3, 1997), http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?articleID=0009B07D-BD40-1C59-B882809EC588ED9F.
- "Click and Clone," Genetic Science Learning Center, University of Utah, http://learn.genetics.utah.edu/units/cloning/clickandclone/.
- "Cloning Fact Sheet," Human Genome Program, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy, http://www.ornl.gov/sci/techresources/Human Genome/elsi/cloning.shtml.
- Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy (COSEPUP), Board on Life Sciences (BLS), "Executive Summary," *Scientific and Medical Aspects of Human Reproductive Cloning* (Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences, 2002), www.nap.edu/openbook/0309076374/html/1.html.
- Constitutional Rights Foundation, "Stem-Cell Research: The Promise and the Pitfalls," *Bill of Rights in Action*, 22:4 (Winter 2006).
- "General Assembly Resolution 56/93, International Convention Against the Reproductive Cloning of Human Beings" [without vote], http://www.un.org/Depts/dhl/resguide/r56.htm.
- German National Ethics Council. *Cloning for Reproductive Purposes and Cloning for the Purposes of Biomedical Research: Opinion* (Berlin: 2004 Nationaler Ethikrat, 2004), http://www.ethikrat.org/\_english/publications/Opinion\_Cloning.pdf.
- *Human Cloning and Human Dignity: An Ethical Inquiry* (Washington, DC: President's Council on Bioethics, July 2002), http://bioethics.gov/reports/cloningreport/index.html.
- "S Korea Cloning Research Was Fake," *BBC News* (December 23, 2005), http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/asia-pacific/4554422.stm.
- "Scientists 'Cloned Human Embryos'," CNN.com (February 12, 2004), http://www.cnn.com/2004/HEALTH/02/12/science.clone/.
- "United Nations Declaration on Human Cloning," United Nations General Assembly Resolution 59/280 (March 23, 2005), http://www.pre.ethics.gc.ca/english/pdf/UN%20Nations%20Resolution%202005.pdf.
- United States Department of State, Bureau of Public Affairs, "To Ban Human Cloning" (September 16, 2004), http://www.usunnewyork.usmission.gov/ga59-fact5.pdf.
- "Universal Declaration on the Human Genome and Human Rights," United Nations General Assembly Resolution 53/152 (9 December 1998), http://www.ohchr.org/english/law/genome.htm.



# **Cloning—Deliberation Question with Arguments**

#### **Deliberation Question**

Should our democracy permit therapeutic cloning of human cells?

### YES—Arguments to Support the Deliberation Question

- 1. Imprecise use of the term *cloning* confuses the public at the cost of good science. Therapeutic and reproductive cloning are clearly different. Reproductive cloning places an altered human cell in a woman's uterus. In contrast, non-reproductive cloning takes place in a laboratory and cannot lead to a human being. These differences mean there is little danger of a "slippery slope" leading from cloning that can cure disease to cloning that is universally condemned.
- 2. Therapeutic cloning covers an array of scientific possibilities. Among these are stem-cell research and other forms of non-reproductive cloning. Therapeutic cloning holds great promise to alleviate human suffering and advance human knowledge.
- 3. The technology of cloning is too tempting to leave unregulated. Because of its extraordinary potential, unscrupulous people will attempt cloning. By legislating procedures and safeguards, society can regulate what kind of cloning is permitted. It can also set proper limits and define what kind of cloning is illegal. Reproductive cloning can be identified, isolated, criminalized, and, when necessary, punished without limiting the scientific knowledge or medical advances that might be gained through therapeutic cloning.
- 4. Scientists have the necessary technical training and background to make informed decisions about cloning. Democratic societies must learn about, discuss, and debate the moral and ethical issues surrounding therapeutic cloning. The expertise of scientists is critical to helping democracies make informed decisions about policy.
- 5. The "embryos" used in research are not babies with limbs or brains. They are tiny balls of stem cells. Moreover, the embryos currently used are from fertility clinics, where they are routinely discarded. Using them for research assures that they are not wasted. Using them values their potential to expand human knowledge.



# **Cloning—Deliberation Question with Arguments**

#### **Deliberation Question**

Should our democracy permit therapeutic cloning of human cells?

# NO—Arguments to Oppose the Deliberation Question

- 1. The processes for therapeutic cloning and reproductive cloning both share a fundamental act: the destruction of human embryos. Therapeutic cloning is therefore no different from reproductive cloning—the very policy that the world universally condemns as an affront to human dignity.
- 2. Therapeutic cloning is wrong because it requires the creation of human beings only so that they may be "harvested" for the betterment of other human beings. Such activity gives the impression that some human lives are much more important than others. International laws were created in large part to protect all human beings from such a judgment. Furthermore, cloned embryos are not necessary to stem cell research—cells from umbilical cord blood could be used instead.
- 3. Cloning is very costly and any advances will happen decades from now and benefit only the wealthy and influential. The money and effort devoted to cloning should be spent on current problems—like AIDS, malaria, and tuberculosis—that affect millions of mostly poor people worldwide.
- 4. Humanity cannot leave decisions about human cloning to "experts." Scientists do not ask whether something should or should not be done; instead, they ask whether something can be done and what can be learned from doing it. Societies, through national and international agreements, have the right and the responsibility to draw the line in scientific research.
- 5. Human cloning represents the very worst characteristics of capitalism. Both therapeutic and reproductive cloning turn human embryos into little more than spare parts or new clothes for those who can afford them. When human beings are viewed as market commodities, they are denied their fundamental rights as persons.



### **Lesson Procedures**

### **Step One: Introduction**

Introduce the lesson and the Student Objectives on the **Lesson Plan**. Distribute and discuss **Handout 1—Deliberation Guide**. Review the Rules of Deliberation and post them in a prominent position in the classroom. Emphasize that the class will deliberate and then debrief the experience.

### **Step Two: Reading**

Distribute a copy of the **Reading** to each student. Have students read the article carefully and underline facts and ideas they think are important and/or interesting (ideally for homework).

# **Step Three: Grouping and Reading Discussion**

Divide the class into groups of four or five students. Group members should share important facts and interesting ideas with each other to develop a common understanding of the article. They can record these facts and ideas on **Handout 2—Deliberation Activities** (Review the Reading).

# Step Four: Introducing the Deliberation Question

Each **Reading** addresses a Deliberation Question. Read aloud and/or post the Deliberation Question and ask students to write the Deliberation Question in the space provided on **Handout 2**. Remind students of the Rules for Deliberation on **Handout 1** 

# **Step Five: Learning the Reasons**

Divide each group into two teams, Team A and Team B. Explain that each team is responsible for selecting the most compelling reasons for its position, which you will assign. Both teams should reread the **Reading**. Team A will find the most compelling reasons to **support** the Deliberation Question. Team B will find the most compelling reasons to **oppose** the Deliberation Question. To ensure maximum participation, ask everyone on the team to prepare to present at least one reason.

**Note**: Team A and Team B do not communicate while learning the reasons. If students need help identifying the arguments or time is limited, use the **Deliberation Question with Arguments** handouts. Ask students to identify the most compelling arguments and add any additional ones they may remember from the reading.

# Step Six: Presenting the Most Compelling Reasons

Tell students that each team will present the most compelling reasons to **support** or **oppose** the Deliberation Question. In preparation for the next step, Reversing Positions, have each team listen carefully for the most compelling reasons.

- Team A will explain their reasons for **supporting** the Deliberation Question. If Team B does not understand something, they should ask questions but NOT argue.
- Team B will explain their reasons for **opposing** the Deliberation Question. If Team A does not understand something, they should ask questions, but NOT argue.

**Note**: The teams may not believe in or agree with their reasons but should be as convincing as possible when presenting them to others.

### **Step Seven: Reversing Positions**

Explain that, to demonstrate that each side understands the opposing arguments, each team will select the other team's most compelling reasons.

- Team B will explain to Team A what Team A's **most compelling** reasons were for **supporting** the Deliberation Question.
- Team A will explain to Team B what Team B's **most compelling** reasons were for **opposing** the Deliberation Question.

### **Step Eight: Deliberating the Question**

Explain that students will now drop their roles and deliberate the question as a group. Remind the class of the question. In deliberating, students can (1) use what they have learned about the issue and (2) offer their personal experiences as they formulate opinions regarding the issue.

After deliberating, have students find areas of agreement in their group. Then ask students, as individuals, to express to the group their personal position on the issue and write it down (see My Personal Position on **Handout 2**).

**Note:** Individual students do **NOT** have to agree with the group.

# Step Nine: Debriefing the Deliberation

Reconvene the entire class. Distribute **Handout 3—Student Reflection on Deliberation** as a guide. Ask students to discuss the following questions:

- What were the most compelling reasons for each side?
- What were the areas of agreement?
- What questions do you still have? Where can you get more information?
- What are some reasons why deliberating this issue is important in a democracy?
- What might you or your class do to address this problem? Options include teaching others about what they have learned; writing to elected officials, NGOs, or businesses; and conducting additional research.

Consider having students prepare personal reflections on the Deliberation Question through written, visual, or audio essays. Personal opinions can be posted on the web.

# Step Ten: Student Poll/Student Reflection

Ask students: "Do you agree, disagree, or are you still undecided about the Deliberation Question?" Record the responses and have a student post the results on <a href="www.deliberating.org">www.deliberating.org</a> under the partnerships and/or the polls. Have students complete **Handout 3**.



### **Handout 1—Deliberation Guide**

#### What Is Deliberation?

Deliberation (meaningful discussion) is the focused exchange of ideas and the analysis of arguments with the aim of making a decision.

# Why Are We Deliberating?

Citizens must be able and willing to express and exchange ideas among themselves, with community leaders, and with their representatives in government. Citizens and public officials in a democracy need skills and opportunities to engage in civil public discussion of controversial issues in order to make informed policy decisions. Deliberation requires keeping an open mind, as this skill enables citizens to reconsider a decision based on new information or changing circumstances.

#### What Are the Rules for Deliberation?

- Read the material carefully.
- Focus on the deliberation question.
- Listen carefully to what others are saying.
- Check for understanding.
- Analyze what others say.
- Speak and encourage others to speak.
- Refer to the reading to support your ideas.
- Use relevant background knowledge, including life experiences, in a logical way.
- Use your heart and mind to express ideas and opinions.
- Remain engaged and respectful when controversy arises.
- Focus on ideas, not personalities.



### **Handout 2—Deliberation Activities**

### **Review the Reading**

etermine the most important facts and/or interesting ideas and write them below.	
Deliberation Question	

# Learning the Reasons

Reasons to Oppose the Deliberation Question (Team B)		

# **My Personal Position**

On a separate sheet of paper, write down reasons to support your opinion. You may suggest another course of action than the policy proposed in the question or add your own ideas to address the underlying problem.



Name:			
Date: _			
Teache	r:		

# **Handout 3—Student Reflection on Deliberation**

Large Group Discussion: What We Learned						
What were the mos	t compelling reason	s for each side	<del>e</del> ?			
Side A:	e A: Side B:					
What were the area	s of agreement?					
What questions do	you still have? Whe	ere can you ge	t more informa	ation?		
What are some reas	ons why deliberating	ng this issue is	important in a	a democracy?		
What might you an	d/or your class do to	o address this	problem?			
Individual Re	flection: Wha	at I Learne	ed			
Which number best	describes your und	lerstanding of	the focus issue	e? [circle one]		
NO DEEPER UNDERSTANDING	2	3	4	5 MUCH DEEPER UNDERSTANDING		
What new insights	did you gain?					
What did you do we personal deliberation		on? What do yo	ou need to wo	rk on to improve your		
What did someone	else in your group c	do or say that v	was particular	ly helpful? Is there anything		

the group should work on to improve the group deliberation?